UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandriv Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,063	03/25/2004	Wolfgang Pfeifer	13913-170US1/2001P00030WO 8061		
32864 EICH & DICH	7590 05/09/2007		EXAMIN		
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		-%-	PRICE, NATHAN E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,063	PFEIFER, WOLFGANG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan Price	2194		

•		Art Office	
	Nathan Price	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) . The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply orig	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		ate of the inial rejection,	even in timely med,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•	•	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut arior to the data of filing a bria	f will met be entered b	
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co 	,	-	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	71L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	•
NOTE: The claim amendments specify two hierar		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	·		(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	, .	
13. Other:		^	
		\mathcal{N}^{V}	-
	SUPERVIS	LIAM THOMSON ORY PATENT EXAN	MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)